IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND DIVISION

	CHAPTER 11
RES, INC., et al.,	Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)
Debtors.	Jointly Administered
	RES, INC., et al., Debtors.

OBJECTION OF RONUS MEYERLAND PLAZA, L.P. TO LIQUIDATING TRUST'S EIGHTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO LANDLORD CLAIMS

Ronus Meyerland Plaza, L.P. ("Meyerland"), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby objects to the Liquidating Trust's Eighth Omnibus Objection to Landlord Claims ("Motion"). On or about April 28, 2009, Meyerland filed a proof of claim for \$604,658.47, which included \$8,979.00 in attorneys' fees. On Exhibit C to the Motion, the Liquidating Trust objects to the claim's inclusion of these

James J. Briody, Virginia Bar No. 32128 SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP 1275 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 2004-2415

Telephone: (202) 383-0100 Facsimile: (202) 637-3593 jim.briody@sutherland.com Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 10409 Filed 04/07/11 Entered 04/07/11 15:48:47 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 5

attorneys' fees in Meyerland's proof of claim, describing the attorneys' fees as "invalid." ¹

To the extent the Liquidating Trust is objecting to the inclusion of these attorneys' fees because they have not been provided bills to substantiate the amount of the attorneys' fees, attached as Exhibit A to this objection is a copy of the relevant invoices. The fees incurred on behalf of Meyerland were split evenly between Meyerland and an affiliate entity, Johnson City Crossing, L.P., which is simultaneously filing a similar objection to the Liquidating Trust's Ninth Omnibus Objection to Landlord Claims. The total amount outstanding on the invoices is \$27,853.12,² which split evenly results in \$13,926.56 attributable to this landlord's lease. Accordingly, pending resolution of this objection, Meyerland intends to file

¹ Although the Liquidating Trust lists those attorneys' fees at \$8,797.00, the amount of the proposed modified claim (\$594,815.00) clarifies that the Trust is objecting to the full amount of \$8,979.00 in attorneys' fees. Exhibit C also objects to an additional amount of \$864.47 in "prepetition rent not supported by Debtors' books and records." Meyerland is not objecting to the exclusion of that amount from its proof of claim.

² All fees resulting from the work of "SM LASOTA" are not included in this total (\$7,718.00), as some of the work performed by Mr. LaSota is not properly billed to the lease at issue here.

an amended proof of claim including this amount of attorneys' fees rather than the \$8,979.00 previously included.

To the extent the objection is based on a claim that the Debtor does not owe any attorneys' fees under the lease, that assertion is incorrect as the relevant lease, attached as Exhibit B, specifically states:

Attorneys' Fees. In the event either party shall be required to commence or defend any action or proceeding against any other party by reason of any breach or claimed breach of any provision of this Lease, to commence or defend any action or proceeding in any way connected with this Lease or to seek a judicial declaration of rights under this Lease, the party prevailing in such action or proceeding shall be entitled to recover from or to be reimbursed by the other party for the prevailing party's reasonable and actual attorneys' fees and costs through all levels of proceedings.

Exhibit B, § 34(f).

For the foregoing reasons, the Liquidating Trust's Eighth Omnibus

Objection to Landlord Claims should be denied with respect to the claim of

Meyerland.

This 7th day of April, 2011.

/s/ James J. Briody

James J. Briody, Virginia Bar No. 32128 SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP 1275 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 2004-2415

Telephone: (202) 383-0100 Facsimile: (202) 637-3593 jim.briody@sutherland.com

Attorneys for Ronus Meyerland Plaza, L.P. and Johnson City Crossing, L.P.

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 10409 Filed 04/07/11 Entered 04/07/11 15:48:47 Desc Main Document Page 5 of 5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

That on the 7th day of April 2011, I caused the foregoing to be electronically filed with the Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court using the CM/ECF System, which causes notices of the electronic filing to be served all registered users of the CM/ECF System that have filed notices of appearance, including counsel for the Liquidating Trust.

/s/ James J. Briody

James J. Briody, Virginia Bar No. 32128